

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 17	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 27-Jul-2005		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W81W3G-0A76-0001		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY USAED - BALTIMORE 10 SOUTH HOWARD STREET BALTIMORE MD 21201		CODE W912DR		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W912DR-05-R-0001	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 28-Jun-2005	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The solicitation for the Public-Private A76 Competition for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Information Management/Information Technology is hereby amended to add (1) DD Form 254, Contract Security Classification Specification, (2) Q&A's from the Baltimore District site visit, (3) Slides, Site Visit Attendees, and Q&A's from Seattle and Portland Districts, Western Processing Center (which was combined with the Portland District visit), and Northwestern Division, and (4) the latest list of Q&A's from the on-line comment form. The additions to this listing, dated 27 July 2005, are highlighted in BOLD print. As a result of this amendment, there is no change in the proposal due date of 23 September 2005 at 4:00pm.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: EMAIL:			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 27-Jul-2005	

<div>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE</div> <div>CONTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION SPECIFICATION</div> <div>(The requirements of the DoD Industrial Security Manual apply to all security aspects of this effort.)</div>			<div>1. CLEARANCE AND SAFEGUARDING</div> <div>a. FACILITY CLEARANCE REQUIRED</div> <div>b. LEVEL OF SAFEGUARDING REQUIRED</div>		
<div>2. THIS SPECIFICATION IS FOR: (x and complete as applicable)</div> <div><div><input type="checkbox"/></div>a. PRIME CONTRACT NUMBER</div> <div><div><input type="checkbox"/></div>b. SUBCONTRACT NUMBER</div> <div><div><input type="checkbox"/></div>c. SOLICITATION OR OTHER NUMBER</div> <div>DUE DATE (YYMMDD)</div>					

12. PUBLIC RELEASE. Any information (*classified or unclassified*) pertaining to this contract shall not be released for public dissemination except as provided by the iNISPOM or unless it has been approved for public release by appropriate U.S. Government authority. Proposed public releases shall be submitted for approval prior to release

☐ Direct ☐ Through (*Specify*):

to the Directorate for Freedom of Information and Security Review, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs)* for review.
*In the case of non-DoD User Agencies, requests for disclosure shall be submitted to that agency.

13. SECURITY GUIDANCE. The security classification guidance needed for this classified effort is identified below. If any difficulty is encountered in applying this guidance or if any other contributing factor indicates a need for changes in this guidance, the contractor is authorized and encouraged to provide recommended changes; to challenge the guidance or the classification assigned to any information or material furnished or generated under this contract; and to submit any questions for interpretation of this guidance to the official identified below. Pending final decision, the information involved shall be handled and protected at the highest level of classification assigned or recommended. (*Fill in as appropriate for the classified effort. Attach, or forward under separate correspondence, any documents/guides/extracts referenced herein. Add additional pages as needed to provide complete guidance.*)

14. ADDITIONAL SECURITY REQUIREMENTS. Requirements, in addition to NISPOM requirements, are established for this contract. (*If Yes, identify the pertinent contractual clauses in the contract document itself, or provide any appropriate statement which identifies the additional requirements. Provide a copy of the requirements to the cognizant security office. Use Item 13 if additional space is needed.*)

☐ Yes ☐ No

15. INSPECTIONS. Elements of this contract are outside the inspection responsibility of the cognizant security office. (*If Yes, explain and identify specific areas or elements carved out and the activity responsible for inspections. Use Item 13 if additional space is needed.*)

☐ Yes ☐ No

16. CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE. Security requirements stated herein are complete and adequate for safeguarding the classified information to be released or generated under this classified effort. All questions shall be referred to the official named below.

a. TYPED NAME OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL

b. TITLE

c. TELEPHONE (*Include Area Code*)

d. ADDRESS (*Include Zip Code*)

e. SIGNATURE

17. REQUIRED DISTRIBUTION

- ☐ a. CONTRACTOR
☐ b. SUBCONTRACTOR
☐ c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE FOR PRIME AND SUBCONTRACTOR
☐ d. U.S. ACTIVITY RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERSEAS SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
☐ e. ADMINSTRATIVE CONTRACTING OFFICER
☐ f. OTHERS AS NECESSARY

Questions and Answers from the Baltimore District Site Visit 15 July 2005

- 1 - How many contractors are working within the Baltimore District? **4 in IT-related activity. See PWS TE19 for others**
- 2 - How many total number of contractor personnel are working on IM/IT? **10**
- 3 - How many field sites does the Baltimore District support? **See PWS, TE 4 (Facilities) documents TE04_CENAB_Facilities_Pg1_V1.5.pdf and TE04_CENAB_Facilities_Pg2_V1.5.pdf**
- 4 - Collect pamphlets or brochures on these mission, powerpoint presentations, etc. **Powerpoint slides were included in Amendment 0001, dated 18 July 2005.**
- 5 - As we come up with questions, can we call the Baltimore District Office directly? **No. All questions should be submitted through the on-line comment form located on the website. You should not call the particular Center/District directly.**
- 6 - What is the technology infrastructure topology for:
 - a - # of desktops to support: **1464. Transition from Windows 2000 to XP Professional, which is approximately 20% complete**
 - b - # of servers **60**
 - c - # of switches **70**
 - d - # of routers **26**
 - e - What telecom infrastructure is used? **Centrex, remote offices have various small systems.**
 - f - Do you have a help desk? **Yes. The Baltimore District Help Desk is automated with an on-line submission of problems.**
 - g - How many helpdesk support people are on-site? **29 part time**
 - h - Do you have a LAN or NOSC? **LAN**
 - i - How do you get audio visual support? **Work order for requested A/V services is sent to the IMO office, which fulfills the request.**
 - j - How is email handled? **MS Exchange mail client; MS Exchange server**

SEATTLE DISTRICT SITE VISIT ATTENDEES – 19 July 2005

COMPANY	NAME
ISS	Mary Krogh
ISS	Gail McDowell
Northrop Grumman	Ben Davis
USACE HQ	Robert Brunner
USACE PA	Robert Hunter
USACE PWS	Ronald Fennell
USACE Seattle	Mark Edwards
USACE Seattle	James Tyree
USACE Seattle District	Bill Tooney
USACE Seattle District	Mark Elkin
USACE Seattle District	Mike McClellan

SEATTLE DISTRICT QUESTION ANSWERS REPORT 19 July 2005

Seattle Questions

How many cabinets in the radio room?

Is the radio room door locked?

Have you lost power?

Where is the fiber from the data cabinets terminated ?

Who will be responsible for Sun Systems in RCC ?

How many users in this building?

Number of users in Seattle District Office

Data cabinets, how many in building?

Any security clearance required for any equipment on location?

Work with FEMA ?

Seattle Answers

6 + 2 smaller ones

Yes, at night.

Yes, about once a year. The backup generator works fine.

In the computer room.

WM excluded.

500

500

6 up, 2 small downstairs.

Yes, some encrypted equipment secure systems require security clearance.

Interact with FEMA for emergencies, yes indirectly.

PORTLAND DISTRICT SITE VISIT ATTENDEES

20 July 2005

COMPANY	NAME
HQ USACE	Tony Brunner
ISS	Mary Krogh
ISS	Gail McDowell
Lockheed Martin	Bill Colmer
Northrop Grumman	Darrell Jackson
NWD	Brian Hood
USACE	Robert Hunter
USACE Portland	Dennis McCann
USACE Portland	Lester Lynch
USACE Portland	Merric Blancq
USACE PWS	Ronald Fennell

PORTLAND DISTRICT QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

20 July 2005

Portland Questions

Many remote locations- what is the ratio of "direct" network connections to VPN/dial-up at these sites ?

What is the backup power supply ?

Portland Answers

Predominantly direct network connections.

150K VA . We can also bring in an outside generator.
Small generator run by logistics provides power for EOC on 10th floor.

NORTHWESTERN DIVISION SITE VISIT ATTENDEES

21 July 2005

COMPANY	NAME
HQ USACE	Tony Brunner
ISS	Mary Krogh
ISS	Gail McDowell
Lockheed Martin	Bill Colmer
NWD	Brian Hood
USACE	Robert Hunter
USACE PWS	Ronald Fennell

NORTHWEST DIVISION SITE Q AND A

21 July 2005

NWD Questions

Water management is exempt from PWS. If a site exists solely for this purpose, is the network infrastructure still supported within the PWS ?

Does Portland District and Division own their telephone system or does GSA ?

You mentioned VTC and systems cleared to secret.

Are there locations that require higher classification?

NWD Answers

Yes.

The telephone system is owned by GSA.

No

(End of Summary of Changes)

COMMENTS/QUESTIONS TO SOLICITATION
27 July 2005

PWS REFERENCE	PARAGRAPH TITLE	COMMENT/QUESTION	CONTRACTING OFFICER'S DIRECT RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS/COMMENTS FURNISHED TO ALL OFFERORS VIA AN AMENDMENT NOT JUST THROUGH CHANGES IN RFP
C.1 2005:Jul:7:04:21:51	C.1	What is the total expected budget for this effort? I am not looking for an exact amount, a ball park figure such as \$1 million, \$10 million, \$100 million, etc. will suffice. Knowing the size of the budget will help us assign the appropriate corporate resources to the effort.	This information, which can be found in Technical Exhibit 15, Section II, indicated in FY03 USACE expended approximately \$423,459,370 in IM/IT cost. This figure was broken out as \$121,466,930 for reimbursable, \$216,128,147 for in-house cost, and \$85,864,320 for contract cost.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:15:50:48	252.242-7001	Is MEO exempt from contract clause requirements?	The MEO is not exempt from the requirements of DFARS 252.242-7001, Notice of Earned Value Management System
RFP 2005:Jul:7:15:52:23	Required Insurance	MEO is exempt from insurance requirements	A statement will be added to the pre-amble that the MEO is exempt from the Insurance Requirements.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:16:29:03	Sec H Informational text	End Items of Small Business provision seems to apply to Small Business Set-aside solicitations. Please explain the provision's application to the instant solicitation, if any.	As indicated in this clause, "If a small business assembles the system or equipment (even though the components are from large businesses), the small business can certify that it will furnish all end items which are manufactured or produced by a small business concern in the United States". The clause is intended to aid firms in correct certification of FAR Clause 52.219-1 Alt I, included in the solicitation.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:16:30:52	252.209-7000	Subject clause is marked "Reserved" in DFARS.	DFARS Clause 252.209-7000, Acquisition for Subcontractors Subject to On-Site Inspection Under the Intermediate Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, was removed from the regulations on 21 June 2005. This clause will be deleted from the RFP via an amendment.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:16:33:30	52.219-23	Reference D. Lee memorandum (DPAP), evaluation adjustment has been suspended.	FAR Clause 52.219-23, Notice of Price Evaluation Adjustment for Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns, will be deleted from Section K in an amendment.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:16:35:40	L.5.1	Sentence says ". . .tender shall provide . . . Section K" Later in same paragraph says Tender is exempted.	The MEO is excluded from submission of Section K. A correction will be made to the first sentence in L.5.1 in an amendment.

RFP 2005:Jul:7:16:41:44	top para and L.6.5	4 hours of oral presentation will be videotaped. The 4 hours does not include SSEB requests for clarifications/questions.	The entire process, introductions, oral presentations, and questions and answers will be videotaped.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:16:55:01	L.6.2	"CO and other Contracting Office staff as necessary and members of the SSEB. ONLY members of the SSEB should attend oral presentations.	The Contracting Officer will make the decision on who attends the Oral Presentation.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:17:00:45	L.6.1	The Government will videotape each offeror's/tender's presentation. Who is this individual and what is his/her relationship to the SSEB? Even if automated videotaping, a person is required to change tapes during presentation.	The person video taping the presentation will be a government employee.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:17:10:55	L.6.8.2	25-page limitation for SP's responses to SSEB's requests for clarification/questions regarding oral presentation. Why is a page limitation established for SP's SSEB responses prior to receipt of SSEB's requests for clarification/questions, which will be unknown until after oral presentations? Will there be a limitation of the number and type of SSEB clarifications/questions, limitation of complexity of questions, etc.? Does this limitation indicate 1 response per page? What does the 25-page limitation signify?	The sentence "Blank tab pages do not count toward the 25-page count" will be omitted from L.6.8.2 in a future amendment. This wording was left over from the draft RFP when the 25-page count was removed.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:17:15:11	L.7.2	The Technical Volume shall be prepared in a form consistent with the PWS and evaluation criteria set forth in Section M. Shouldn't the form also comply with instructions of Section L?	Section L.7.2 will be amended to include the wording ".....(PWS), instructions to offerors in Section L, and the evaluation....." in a future amendment.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:17:18:00	L.9.3	"All written comments will be taken into consideration . . ." What is meant by "written comments?"	The Agency Tender is exempt from this requirement, as indicated in Section L.9.1. The first sentence in L.9.3 will be removed in a future amendment.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:17:28:18	(2) Management Factor	All subfactors are of relatively equal importance. Remove the term "relatively" or specify order of importance	The word "relatively" will be removed from Section M, Factors to be Evaluated, (2) Management.

RFP 2005:Jul:7:18:25:44	CLIN 0003	Under "CLIN 0003 Notes", it states services that will be added to the contract after contract award. Please specify the determining factors for whether it will be cost reimbursable or fixed price? If it is cost reimbursable, please specify the cost reimbursable contract type – i.e., CPFF, CPAF, etc.	All services in a through m, on page 2 of the bid schedule are excluded from the bid and may be executed on a Cost Reimbursable basis or a Fixed Price modification as determined by the Contracting Officer.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:18:54:42	Contract Security Class Spec (DD254)	The DD254 form is not available on the specified website. When will it be available or can you provide it?	A new link, as indicated below, is provided for the DD Form 254. Additionally, the form will be added as an attachment to the next amendment. http://www.classmgmt.com/about_NCMS/DD0254.pdf
RFP 2005:Jul:7:19:27:31	52.244-2 Subcontracts	We assume that the Government gives consent to subcontract for all subcontractors specified in the Offeror's proposal. Please confirm.	No. The Government does not give consent for all subcontracts. The requirement in FAR 52.244-2, Alternate I, indicates when consent of subcontracts is required.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:19:28:42	Section J	When will the Award Fee Plan be provided?	The award fee plan was provided in Amendment 0002, dated 19 July 2005.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:19:32:50	Site Visit Information	The schedule for the site visits is too late in July to allow Offerors to gather data and incorporate it into our response. Request that the site visits to the Western Processing Center and Central Processing Center be given priority and moved to early July prior to the Preproposal Conference.	Due to USACE's mission requirements and the complexity and variety of sites to be visited, the schedule can not be changed. However, the proposal due date is being extended until 23 September 2005 at 1600 hrs (4:00pm).
RFP 2005:Jul:7:19:40:11	L.5.1.6 Section 2 (Estimating System Survey)	RFP states "Submit organization charts depicting the functional areas responsible for the processing of estimating related data." Does this pertain to the people performing estimating for the proposal or for the people that will estimate during the performance of this contract?	It applies to all. This is a Corporate level system information request.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:19:44:54	Section L.7.1	The RFP requires "total number of productive man-hours and associated full-time equivalent (FTE) positions for each proposed labor category crosswalk to each third-level PWS paragraph in the PWS." We estimate this will require an excessive amount of the 150 pages allocated for the technical volume. It will be difficult to provide a comprehensive response to the requirements of this RFP to enable USACE to evaluate our understanding of capability and approaches to accomplish the stated requirements with the remaining pages. We recommend the staffing matrices be excluded from the page count.	Staffing Matrices shall be excluded in the Technical volume page count. Change will be made via an amendment.

RFP 2005:Jul:7:19:46:27	L.9.2	The two-page limit per contract makes it difficult to adequately discuss complexities of required elements (e.g., similar transition experiences and subcontractor management) which are very important to the relevance of prime contractor contract citations. We suggest the Government increase the page limit per contract to three pages. At a minimum, we suggest that the three-page limit apply to prime offeror contracts, where these additional elements are essential to showing successes parallel to those desired on the USACE IMIT contract.	The page limit shall be increased from 2 pages to 3 pages for responses per contract. Change will be made via an amendment.
RFP 2005:Jul:7:19:47:48	L.9.2.1	The paragraph outlining relevant contracts for experience includes the following sentence: "Non-Government contracts may be used if Government contracts are not available." We believe that non-Government contracts have high relevance to this procurement, particularly in the parallels between commercial outsourcing activities and the nature of A-76 bids. Does the Government intend to rate the relevance of non-Government contracts lower than those of Government contracts?	The Government will rate the relevance of non government and government contract experience equally.
C.5.5 2005:Jul:8:18:24:15	c5.5.2.3	CLIN 0004 section C.5.5.2.3 Official Mail Preparation. Postage only. Is "Postage only" defined as the cost to ship freight without labor or overhead costs. Will the government provide historical postage cost? Will the government provide cost modeling inputs (weight per shipment, average length of haul per shipment, average transit times per shipment, and average shipment dimensions)?	Freight services are outside of the scope of the PWS mail and distribution services requirement and are accomplished by the USACE Logistics Directorate. Postage Costs including Stamps, Metered postage, and Private Carrier fees: FY03 \$ 4,564,295.59 FY04 \$ 4,313,489.97 FY05 \$ 4,600,000.00 (projected)
C.12005:Jul:11:19:36:39	c.1	An excluded AIS is listed as DIMS. Is this meant to be the Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS)? If not, please identify the DIMS AIS.	Concur. Document will be changed to LIMS.
RFP 2005:Jul:14:10:51:18	Section B CLIN 0004d	This paragraph excludes Paper to Support Copy Program Only From the bid price. CLIN 0004 relates to GFE. PWS C.4.1 includes copies as refresh equipment. Is it the Government's intent that the cost of paper to support copy program for refreshed copiers be included in the price or excluded?	According to CLIN 0004 The management of the purchase and distribution of copy paper is included in CLIN 0004. The costs of the invoice cost of the paper will be reimbursed by the Government.

RFP 2005:Jul:14:11:00:13	RFP	Will the answers to questions provided at the site visits be posted on the website?	Yes, all questions and answers received will be posted from the one preproposal conference and each of the site visits. Additionally, all questions and answers received via the comment form for the RFP and PWS will also be posted to the website.
RFP 2005:Jul:14:11:01:02	Section B	CLIN 0006 covers the Base Period and Options but does not cover Phase-In. Please clarify.	The award fee does not cover the phase-in period. This fee starts with the Base Period, to begin on 24 February 2007
TE-2 2005:Jul:14:11:05:13	2.1.3.1	What is the reason for the great variability in the number of records entered under line 2.1.3.1 for LRD Automation in TE2? Is a Record Entered equal to an individual field in a database or multiple entries in a database making up one action?	<p>"The workload in TE-2 represents the actual data collected and verified by each District and Division. Explaining the variances between each year would be releasing incumbent processes and that is contrary to performance based acquisition rules. The variances represent incumbent operations and reflect the differences between how USACE Districts and Divisions approached meeting mission needs year-to-year balanced with available resources. Please review the statement taken from TE-2 carefully reading the statement underlined after. The intent of the IMIT A-76 competition is to consider innovative solutions within the evaluation criteria stated in solicitation Section M.</p> <p>TE-2 - The Government only represents that this exhibit provides a narrative description of the typical incumbent processes and an accurate reflection of the reported estimated frequency provided by the incumbent at different locations. The purpose of this exhibit, when combined with other exhibits, is to provide prospective SPs with insight into the incumbent operations and its relative workload estimates as well as the current scope, complexity, and diversity of IMIT activities within USACE. The workloads and methods of operations outlined in the TE are not performance standards or performance criteria. Prospective SPs should not let the incumbent estimates form a basis of workload requirements or restrict their use of creative or innovative performance solutions that successfully meet the performance requirements stated throughout the contract."</p>
TE-2 2005:Jul:14:11:05:55	2.3.3.4 and 2.3.3.5	What is the reason for the significant increase in the number of data structures within the database and number of indexes per database for CELRH as demonstrated in lines 2.3.3.4 and 2.3.3.5 of TE2 in LRD Automation?	See Above - PWS Reference TE-2, 2005: JUL:14:11:05:13, Paragraph Title 2.1.3.1. Response is the same.

TE-2 2005:Jul:14:11:06:38	5.2.4	What is the reason for the significant growth in the Number of other devices supported by CENAE as demonstrated in line 5.2.4 of the NAD automation section of TE2?	See Above - PWS Reference TE-2, 2005: JUL:14:11:05:13, Paragraph Title 2.1.3.1. Response is the same.
TE-2 2005:Jul:14:11:07:20	5.2.3	What is the reason for the dramatic differences in Sizes of Databases for CENWP and CENWD as demonstrated in line 5.2.3 of the NWD Automation section of TE2?	See Above - PWS Reference TE-2, 2005: JUL:14:11:05:13, Paragraph Title 2.1.3.1. Response is the same.
TE-2 2005:Jul:14:11:08:03	5.2.1	What is the basis for significant growth in Number of Records Entered by CEPOH as demonstrated by line 5.2.1 of the POD Automation section of TE2? These numbers are very round. How accurate is this information believed to be?	See Above - PWS Reference TE-2, 2005: JUL:14:11:05:13, Paragraph Title 2.1.3.1. Response is the same.
TE-2 2005:Jul:14:11:08:46	5.2.2	Why did CESAJ experience such an explosion of Static Web Pages and Dynamic Web Pages to support FY05 as demonstrated by lines 5.2.2 the SAD Automation?	See Above - PWS Reference TE-2, 2005: JUL:14:11:05:13, Paragraph Title 2.1.3.1. Response is the same.
RFP 2005:Jul:14:11:11:11	L - Small Business Subcontracting Plan	Are Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Minority Institutions counted as small businesses for purposes of the Small Business Subcontracting Plan? What are the requirements/percentages/goals for subcontracting to Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Minority Institutions for the USACE procurement?	As indicated in Section L.10.4, Offerors shall indicate in their Small Business Subcontracting Plan their proposed percentages for all of the small business categories. These categories include: Small Business, Small Disadvantaged Business, Woman-Owned Small Business, HUBZone Small Business, Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business, Veteran Owned Small Business, and Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions.
C.5.1 2005:Jul:14:14:15:43	C.5.1.3.2	Please discuss SP "generation" of delivery orders.	SP will assist customers by performing the background research for the generation of delivery orders. It will remain the responsibility of the Contracting Office to execute delivery orders
C.5.1 2005:Jul:14:14:30:48	C.5.1.11	Please discuss SP "processing" of BPA's.	SP shall perform the administrative tracking of the requesting and receiving of funds to perform the IM/IT mission
RFP 2005:Jul:14:15:01:15	RFP	The performance period shall not end 23 February 2012 if the contract starts late.	If the contract period starts late, the performance period will be adjusted, as indicated in Section F, Special Text "Contract Performance Period". This text indicates that the dates are inclusive. Hence, they will be adjusted if the performance period does not start on 24 February 2006.
RFP 2005:Jul:14:15:04:03	RFP	In this section that states "any equipment proposed..." (requires) "a modification to the contract shall be executed", does this apply to refresh equipment that is outlined in para. 4.1? Should the Offeror's FFP for CLINs 001, 0003 and 004 not include the cost of refresh equipment, since the cost of refresh equipment would instead be funded by the govt thru contract mods?	CLIN 0004 is Government Furnished Equipment CLIN 0005 is for Service Provider Furnished Property The text on page 17 is REPLACEMENT OF GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY Equipment is property. Government Furnished is not Service Provider Furnished. We used specific nomenclature for these items so as to distinguish them

RFP 2005:Jul:14:15:11:10	RFP	top para ref to videotaping of orals (also L.6.5). Offerors have 4 hrs to present; doesn't include Govt requests for clarification. Issue: will questions/answers also be videoed?	The entire process (introductions, oral presentations, and questions and answers) will be videotaped.
RFP 2005:Jul:14:15:15:53	L.7.5, QCP, 2nd sentence	Should include "preventive measures."	Concur. Wording will be added to Section L.7.5.
RFP 2005:Jul:14:15:20:15	Factors to be Evaluated - Technical Subfactors of Equal Importance	How will SSEB fairly evaluate (g) Phase-out, for MEO since MEO is exempt?	MEO is not exempt from the Phase-Out Plan. Section C.1.14, PHASE-OUT of the PWS is being amended to remove the words "(The Agency Tender is excluded)". Agency Tender is required to provide a "Phase-Out" Plan and address this in Section M of the RFP.
C.1 2005:Jul:14:15:24:20	First para., collaboration will be "required"	Define "collaboration" Do they mean "consultation" IAW C.5.1.3? Not addressed as EOR, is this FP, CR, negotiated mod?	The SP will develop professional working relationships with those excluded sites that will still require certain support for the enterprise services provided by the SP. Examples would be for enterprise network and AIS access.
C.1 2005:Jul:14:15:26:42	C.1	Clarify and define "Infrastructure Support."	The SP will continue to operate and maintain the enterprise platforms and IT systems that support the AIS's that are considered excluded from this competition.
C.1 2005:Jul:14:15:28:19	C.1.3	SP shall accept responsibility for operational support of AISs.. Please clarify what operational support consists of	The SP will continue operational support for all existing AIS's, including those on the excluded list. This support includes insuring continuous operations of the hardware, software and communications platforms, applying security patches, loading operating system patches and upgrades, installing database system patches and upgrades, etc.
C.1 2005:Jul:14:15:29:47	C.1.3	Next to last para on page; Govt reserves the right to "add work" Elsewhere RFP discusses descoping the contract and how that will be handled. No such discussion of procedures for "increasing the contract"	The PWS team can not identify your question, please resubmit your question.
C.1 2005:Jul:14:15:32:41	C.1.4	There are references to non US work in some of the TEs including work in Kwajalein, Puerto Rico and Guam. Does this imply that these entities are exempt and as such are excluded from the fixed price base level support.?	The SP is to perform all work within the United States, unless specifically requested or required by the Government based on natural or man-made extenuating circumstances to support missions outside of the United States. It is expected that when this occurs, that an equitable compensation will be negotiated.
C.5.3 2005:Jul:14:15:53:04	C.5.3.5.2.2	Second sentence states: "The SP shall gather and compile radio equipment inventories and contract requirements, develop radio contract solicitations, and evaluate solicitation bids." Please discuss "developing" radio contract solicitations, and "evaluating" solicitation bids.	The SP will be required to develop solicitation/Request For Proposal (RFP) documentation for radio contracts and evaluate incoming bids/proposals to perform those contracts.

C.3 2005:Jul:14:16:58:39	C.3.4, Govt Furnished Facilities	<p>SP responsible for modifications to real property.</p> <p>Will GSA/Other facility owners will allow SP to modify facilities? Where's guidance on proper procedures in the event we propose to modify? Will Govt reimburse SP for improvements to Govt(?) property?</p>	<p>The SP will have to submit their request for modifications to the facility manager. Once the modification is reviewed and approved, the SP will be allowed to mod the facility. All costs associated with the mod will be at the SP's expense</p>
C.4 2005:Jul:14:17:02:52	C.4.1, C.4.3 - Refresh Eqt, and Buy out Terms	<p>C.4.1 says that the SP shall retain title of refreshed equipment. C.4.3 says that the Government reserves the right to purchase from the SP any of its titled equipment. However, if the Government reimburses the SP (Sec B, CLIN 0004) for refreshed equipment, it should not have to buy back such equipment at the end of the contract period. Thus, Sec B, CLIN 0004, C.4.1 and C.4.3 should be reconciled to clarify intent.</p>	<p>CLIN 0004 is for management of GFP CLIN 0005 is for the Firm Fixed Price associated with the Service Provider Furnished Property as defined in section C-4 of the PWS.</p>
C.4 2005:Jul:14:17:13:12	C.4.3	<p>Buy Out Terms does not seem to acknowledge that the agency tender may include an industry partner. It states that the agency tender is excluded however there could be buy-out issues associated with the agency tender.</p>	<p>The last sentence of section C.4.3 will be changed from "This requirement does not apply to the Most Efficient Organization (MEO). "</p> <p>To:</p> <p>"The Most Efficient Organization (MEO) is relieved from performing this requirement as it relates to the agency tender private sector partners."</p>
C.5.1 2005:Jul:14:17:16:16	ECPs	<p>SP shall consult/coordinate w/users/customers in preparing ECP's as well as submitting to CCB for review/approval.</p> <p>"Clause 52.248-1 Value Engineering, is missing. ISSUE: There are two value engineering approaches: an incentive approach in which contractor participation is voluntary and the contractor uses its own resources to develop and submit any value engineering change proposals (VECP s). The contract provides for sharing of savings and for payment of the contractor s allowable development and implementation costs only if a VECP is accepted. This voluntary approach should not in itself increase costs to the Government. The second approach is a mandatory program in which the Government requires and pays for a specific value engineering program effort. The contractor must perform value engineering of the scope and level of effort required by the</p>	<p>The Value Engineering clause was omitted from the original RFP and will be added by an amendment. Value Engineering should not be proposed by the SP as part of its offer; therefore, a separately price CLIN is not appropriate. If the SP proposes a Value Engineering package, the Government and SP will conduct a joint evaluation of the package and if appropriate negotiate a mod based on the value received by the improvement. VE Clause 52.248-1 Value Engineering (Feb 2000) was added in Amendment 0002, dated 19 July 2005.</p>

		Government's program plan and included as a separately priced item of work! k in the contract Schedule. PWS wording makes VE mandatory. Where's my CLIN?"	
C.5.2 2005:Jul:14:17:17:29	AIS Data Record	Data Entry is impossible to bid without better definition of what an "AIS" data record" actually consists of. Need specific definition.	Clarification: The number of supported AIS data records entered by dedicated data entry support personnel to include but not limited to major AIS projects (i.e. P2, PROMIS, FEMS, ITIPS) excluding routine data input (i.e. timekeeping, travel, PR&C. The AIS data record is the unit in which AIS database data is stored and is what was counted by each site during data collection (TE-2).
C.5.7 2005:Jul:14:17:34:29	C.5.7	Graphic Displays listed as cost reimbursable. References "high level visitor center exhibits" but the PWS describes "visitor center level exhibits"--typo?	Bid schedule will be modified as follows. m) C.5.7.4.1. <i>Graphic Displays</i> . Only the work associated with visitor center level exhibits.
RFP 2005:Jul:14:17:36:55	CLIN 0004	What if a press inspection is required? Who pays the cost?	If the customer requests a press inspection, it is cost-reimbursable.
C.5.7 2005:Jul:14:17:40:43	C.5.7	How are we reimbursed for supporting customers, such as the NSF?	All VI jobs for non-USACE customers will be executed on a cost-reimbursable basis."
C.5.6 2005:Jul:14:17:41:58	C.5.6	Printing and customers of the Corps. How are we reimbursed for supporting customers, such as the NSF?	All printing jobs will be executed on a cost-reimbursable basis.
C.5.7 2005:Jul:14:17:44:32	C.5.7	VI support may be required at Haz waste sites. This increases the liability of SP. Need to provide for health screenings, special insurance coverage, etc. Same applies to entire contract, for especially unsafe/hazardous locations where SP may be required to perform.	Required insurance and safety issues are covered in the RFP, pages 17, 18, 20, and 21 under Required Insurance, Safety and Accident Prevention and Required Insurance for Work on a Government Installation.
C.5.7 2005:Jul:14:17:45:48	C.5.7	Archiving and or question--what does this mean?????	SP will be required to maintain existing photo and video archives.
C.5.7 2005:Jul:14:17:50:02	media conversions	Define media conversions. Current usage too broad, electronic only? Not slides to electronic? etc.	This requirement covers all types of VI products and VI media, not just electronic, such as, scanning hardcopy to PDF, VHS to DVD, PowerPoint to .JPG, etc.

C.5.7 2005:Jul:14:17:54:51	C.5.7.7, Multimedia facilities and equipment - loan	Is the loan of multimedia facilities and facilities cost-reimbursable? Assume equipment breaks, and requires maintenance?	The loan of multimedia facilities and facilities is not cost-reimbursable. Equipment damage resulting from neglect of user is cost-reimbursable.
C.5.7 2005:Jul:14:17:56:32	C.5.7.8 Desktop publishing - reproducing low volume, mission unique	What is low volume? Please clarify and provide definition	Low volume, mission unique projects are priority jobs that cannot be procured from DAPS, GPO, or GPO approved vendors within the given time limits and comply with AR 25-30
C.5.7 2005:Jul:14:17:58:19	C.5.7.8 Desktop publishing - reproducing low volume, mission unique	What is mission-unique? Mission is a very broad term? Please clarify and provide definition.	Low volume, mission unique projects are priority jobs that cannot be procured from DAPS, GPO, or GPO approved vendors within the given time limits and comply with AR 25-30
TE-1 2005:Jul:14:18:00:03	TE-1	TE-1 Heading states: The SP is not relieved of the responsibilities for deficiencies and failures to meet the contract requirements for services not included in this PRS. What does this mean?	The Service Provider is responsible for all requirements listed in the solicitation package, not just those items listed in the Performance Requirements Summary
TE-2 2005:Jul:14:18:04:12	C.4.1 & C.5.6.4 - Copier Program Management - Refresh Equipment	How many copiers - - 9,000 it says in TE-2, but there are not nearly that many in the equipment list. What is the correct number of copiers contained in TE-2? Who pays for maintenance?	The number of copiers is listed in the Government Furnished Property Technical Exhibit (TE-03) The SP will be responsible for maintenance of equipment.
C.1 2005:Jul:14:18:17:23	C.1	What does collaboration mean? It appears that all currently provided "enterprise services" such as WAN, enterprise email, CEFMS, SPS are excluded as this statement is written. Strategic collaboration used again in C.5.6 P&P, and C.5.7 VI.	The SP will develop professional working relationships with those excluded sites that will still require certain support for the enterprise services provided by the SP. Examples would be for enterprise network and AIS access
C.3 2005:Jul:18:21:08:37	C.3.5	The PWS requires the SP to replace and retain title to all Refresh Equipment. It also requires, implicitly, that the SP is to include the cost of the Refresh Equipment in their fixed price bid. But, the Government is to specify the CCB-approved configuration. How can a SP provide a fixed price for equipment when the specifications for that equipment (in the form of a CCB-approved configuration) will not be provided until some point in the future?	The SP will propose a solution that will include a plan for refresh equipment. If the CCB and KO finds that the equipment needs are significantly different that what was proposed, the changes will be handled by mods to the contract.
RFP 2005:Jul:20:12:38:55	Section I	RFP should list those clauses which do not apply to the MEO	The Government will not be providing a separate listing of what does not apply to the MEO in Section I.

RFP 2005:Jul:20:12:40:14	52.249-2, 52.249-6	Why are both included? Define when each applies.	The solicitation contains both fixed price line items and the potential for cost reimbursable line items, FAR requires that both clauses be included in the solicitation. Therefore, FAR 52.249-2 (Termination for Convenience of the Government (Fixed-Price)) will apply to the fixed price line items and FAR 52.249-6 (Termination (Cost Reimbursement)) will apply to the cost reimbursement portion of the contract if work is required under the cost-reimbursement items.
RFP 2005:Jul:20:12:43:09	L.2.2 - 52.245-5	Will the contract be officially classified as one of these types? Page 72 says contract is FFP award fee.	Refer to Section L, FAR Clause 52.216-1, Type of Contract, "The Government contemplates award of a Firm Fixed Price Plus Award Fee/Cost Reimbursement contract resulting from this solicitation.
RFP 2005:Jul:20:13:01:08	L.4.2	OMB Circular indicates that Government is to prepare the matrix	A compliance matrix, completed by the Government, will be added via an amendment to Section L.4.2.
RFP 2005:Jul:20:13:53:51	(2)	Are subfactors of equal importance or not?	Yes, all subfactors are of equal importance. The word "relatively" will be removed throughout Section M of the Factors to be Evaluated.
Solicitation No. W912DR-05-R-0001	C.1 .14. PHASE-OUT.	One more item, wanted to confirm that the MEO is not required to submit a phase-out plan, correct?	The phrase {The Agency Tender is excluded} will be deleted from C.1 .14. PHASE-OUT. (The Agency Tender is excluded.)
C.1 2005:Jul:20:13:55:34	1.3	How are "future missions" to be priced?	The Government will negotiate with the SP regarding compensation for any new missions or workloads not covered in the PWS.
C.1 2005:Jul:20:13:57:12	1.3	Please clarify "overseas work." One paragraph indicates only in support of emergency ops, and then another paragraph says upon request.	The SP may be required to support the Government at locations not listed in TE-6, including sites that are overseas. The requirement for such support can come from either natural or man-made emergency responses or other non-emergency causes.
C.1 2005:Jul:20:13:59:50	1.3, last sentence next to last para	What does (section) refer to?	The word 'section' refers to the section of the statute being quoted, 33 United States Code (USC) Section 2321

C.1 2005:Jul:20:14:02:34	1.4.1	Define whether SP PM must be available during local operating hours or NAB normal hours?	Normal business hours in the Eastern Time Zone.
C.1 2005:Jul:20:14:03:41	1.12	Will equitable adjustment be made prior to response? If not, will this constitute a ratification situation/	Processes to support emergency operations will be determined by the Government at it's discretion.
C.3 2005:Jul:20:14:05:20	3.1	What mechanism will be used for Government to reimburse for Government leased equipment?	Any leased equipment not currently identified in TE-03 will be identified during the joint inventory conducted during the phase in period, and an equitable adjustment will be made at that time. The Service provider will include in there phase out plan the disposition of equipment.
C.4 2005:Jul:20:14:10:28	C.4.1	If refresh equipment is purchased with project funds, how is SP allowed to retain title?	Refresh equipment will be funded by the SP.
C.5.2 2005:Jul:20:14:37:53	C.5.2.2	Does SP actually maintain the website, i.e., update content?	Yes.
C.5.2 2005:Jul:20:14:39:05	C.5.2.4	Who will acquire the services and systems?	The SP will be responsible for acquiring IMIT services/equipment/products.
C.5.2 2005:Jul:20:14:40:03	C.5.2.5	Who will acquire the services and systems? Does SP own replaced servers?	Question 1: The SP shall provide any equipment, facilities, and materials not otherwise provided as GFP and required for performance of this contract. . Question 2: Title shall remain with the SP unless the Government exercises its right to acquire the equipment at the end of contract performance.
C.5.6 2005:Jul:20:14:49:02	C.5.6.1.1	Define "coordinate."	Coordinate in this paragraph means the SP will perform all necessary communications with DAPS, GPO, or GPO-approved vendors. The SP will obtain cost estimates from the vendor, send and receive jobs to and from the vendor, and handle any problems with the vendor's product.
C.5.1 2005:Jul:21:10:42:30	C.5.1.6.8	Requirement states "Central Design Activity (CDA). The SP shall perform central design activities for those investments listed in TE-11, as requested."	Central Design Activity (CDA) will be changed to MACOM Design Activity (MDA)

		<p>CDA's do SERVICE level work (DA, USAF, USN) and all belong to DISA. This was accomplished via Defense Management Review Directive (DMRD) 924 (1990) and 918 (1993) which CREATED the Defense Infrastructure Support Agency (DISA).</p> <p>A MACOM may have a MACOM Design Activity (MDA) or a Software Engineering and Development Center or something comparable, but not a CDA by DoD directive. If the objective is to obtain software engineering, development and testing for AIS assets, this can be accomplished by providing labor classes and capabilities and allowing independent task orders against the clins provided for specific purposes.</p>	
<p>RFP 2005:Jul:21:14:05:59</p>	<p>Section G of Amendment 2</p>	<p>Continuing Government Organization "The DCI CGO will be located at 28 locations." Q: What are the specific locations? Q: What/who comprises the CGO staff at these locations? Q: This implies a required staffing by the SP at these specific locations. What is the requirement at these locations if one exists?</p>	<p>The specific locations have not yet been identified. The decision on who/what comprises the CGO staff has not been made. The implication is not in the paragraph which indicates the SP needs to be at each of the locations. It will be the SP's decision on whether the SP wants someone at each of the locations. Those locations will be identified during the phase-in period.</p>